

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/055,266	01/22/2002	Ki-won Choi	9898-208	6747
7590 07/09/2004			EXAMINER	
MARGER JOHNSON & McCOLLOM, P.C.			VU, QUANG D	
1030 S.W. Morrison Street Portland, OR 97205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/055,266	CHOI, KI-WON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quang D Vu	2811			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ma	ay 2004.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12-16,26 and 27 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10,12-16,26 and 27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	amilier. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTH PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	d) □ Intopia Summer	(PTO 413)			
Notice of References Cited (P10-892)	4) Linterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1, 3-4, 6-10, 13, 16, 26 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,468,994 to Pendse in view of US Patent No. 6.232.561 to Schmidt et al.

Regarding claim 1, Pendse (figure 4) teaches a semiconductor package comprising:
a substrate (22) including a pad (16), an pad (30) connected to a solder ball (8);
a semiconductor chip (34) having a bond pad (a bond pad is located on the chip [34])
attached to the substrate (22);

a normal wire bonding unit (38) coupled between the bond pad (a bond pad is located on the chip [34]) and the pad (16).

It is inherently for the pad (16), pad (30), bond pad (a bond pad is located on the chip [34]) and solder ball (8), which are a redundant bond finger, added bond finger, added bond pad and redundant solder ball, respectively because they provide interconnection.

Pendse differs from the claimed invention by not showing an added wire bonding unit coupled between the redundant bond finger and the added bond finger. However, Schmidt et al.

Art Unit: 2811

(figure 2b) teach a wire bonding unit (6) coupled between the conductor pad (4) (redundant bond finger) and the conductor pad (3) (added bond finger). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Schmidt et al. into the device taught by Pendse because it provides interconnections. The combined device shows an added wire bonding unit coupled between the redundant bond finger and the added bond finger, wherein the added bond pad is electrically connected to the redundant solder ball via the redundant bond finger and the added bond finger.

Regarding claim 3, the combined device inherently teaches a solder ball connected to the redundant solder ball pad.

Regarding claim 4, the combined device teaches the substrate (Pendse; 22) is a single layer substrate. It is inherent to have printed circuit patterns because the printed circuit patterns are used to connect the chip and the solder balls.

Regarding claim 6, it is inherent that a solder mask is not formed on the added bond finger because Pendse never discloses a solder mask.

Regarding claim 7, the combined device shows the added wire bonding unit is formed over the substrate (Pendse; 22).

Regarding claim 8, the combined device shows the added wire bonding unit is formed on an outer region of the substrate (Pendse; 22) on which the semiconductor chip (Pendse; 34) is mounted.

Regarding claim 9, the combined device shows the added wire bonding unit is one unit.

Regarding claim 10, the combined device shows the semiconductor chip (Pendse; 34) is attached to the substrate (Pendse; 22) using an adhesive (Pendse; 36).

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 13, Pendse (figure 4) teaches a semiconductor package comprising:

a substrate (22) including a first printed circuit pattern (a printed circuit pattern is formed between the pad [16] and the pad [30]) connect to pad (16) and a second printed circuit pattern (a printed circuit pattern is formed between the pad [30] and the solder ball [8]) connected to a solder ball (8);

a semiconductor chip (34) attached to the substrate (22).

It is inherently for the pad (16), pad (30) and solder ball (8), which are a redundant bond finger, added bond finger and redundant solder ball, respectively because they provide interconnection.

Pendse differs from the claimed invention by not showing an added wire bonding unit coupled between the redundant bond finger and the added bond finger. However, Schmidt et al. (figure 2b) teach a wire bonding unit (6) coupled between the conductor pad (4) (redundant bond finger) and the conductor pad (3) (added bond finger). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Schmidt et al. into the device taught by Pendse because it provides interconnections. The combined device shows an added wire bonding unit coupled between the first printed circuit pattern to the second printed circuit pattern to electrically connect the redundant bond finger to the redundant solder ball.

Regarding claim 16, the combined device inherently teach the first printed circuit pattern and a second printed circuit pattern each have a width that enables wire bonding to be performed thereon.

Regarding claim 26, Pendse (figure 4) teaches a semiconductor package comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/055,266 Page 5

Art Unit: 2811

the chip [34]) and the pad (16).

a semiconductor chip (34) having a bond pad (a bond pad is located on the chip [34]); a substrate (22) having a pad (16) and a pad (30) connected to a solder ball (8); a normal wire bonding unit (38) coupled between the bond pad (a bond pad is located on

It is inherently for the pad (16), pad (30), bond pad (a bond pad is located on the chip [34]) and solder ball (8), which are a redundant bond finger, added bond finger, added bond pad and redundant solder ball, respectively because they provide interconnection.

Pendse differs from the claimed invention by not showing an added wire bonding unit coupled between the redundant bond finger and the added bond finger. However, Schmidt et al. (figure 2b) teach a wire bonding unit (6) coupled between the conductor pad (4) (redundant bond finger) and the conductor pad (3) (added bond finger). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Schmidt et al. into the device taught by Pendse because it provides interconnections. The combined device shows an added wire bonding unit coupled between the redundant bond finger and the added bond finger such that the added bond pad is electrically connected to the redundant solder ball via the redundant bond finger and the added bond finger.

Regarding claim 27, the combined device shows the added bond finger (Pendse [30]) is not directly connected to the added bond pad (Pendse; a bond pad is located on the chip [34])

3. Claims 2, 5, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pendse in view of Schmidt et al., and further in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Page 6

Regarding claim 2, the combined device differs from the claimed invention by not showing an encapsulant for encapsulating the semiconductor chip, the normal and added wire bonding units. However, AAPA (figures 1-2) teaches an encapsulant (7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an encapsulant of AAPA into the device of Pendse and Schmidt et al. because it protects device from the external environment. The combined device shows that an encapsulant for encapsulating the semiconductor chip, the normal and added wire bonding units.

Regarding claim 5, the combined device differs from the claimed invention by not showing the substrate is a double layer substrate or a multi layer substrate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the substrate is a double layer substrate or a multi-layer substrate because it depends on the size of the package.

Regarding claim 12, the combined device differs from the claimed invention by not showing the added bond finger has the same pad shape as that of the redundant bond finger. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the added bond finger has the same pad shape as that of the redundant bond finger because it depends on the size of the substrate.

Regarding claim 14, the combined device differs from the claimed invention by not showing an encapsulant for encapsulating the semiconductor chip and the added wire bonding units. However, AAPA (figures 1-2) teaches an encapsulant (7). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate an encapsulant of AAPA into the device of Pendse and Schmidt et al. because it protects device

Art Unit: 2811

from the external environment. The combined device shows that an encapsulant for encapsulating the semiconductor chip and the added wire bonding units.

Regarding claim 15, the combined device inherently teaches a solder ball connected to the redundant solder ball pad (8).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 12-16, 26 and 27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-1667. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2811

qv July 1, 2004

Sara Crane Primary Examiner Page 8